| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 10/077,730 | NICHOLS, GREGORY M. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Erin M. File | 2611 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) Erin M. File. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>George Legg, Reg. No. 34,208</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 27 March 2006 | Time: <u>1:40PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 12, 13 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agreed to proposed examiners amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Am Comillio 3-30-06 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |